

STIC Search Report

STIC Database Tracking Number: 129145

TO: Andrea Valenti Location: Pk. 5, 3U11

Art Unit: 3643

Monday, August 09, 2004

Case Serial Number: 10/726057

From: Caryn Wesner-Early

Location: EIC 3600

PK5-Suite 804 Phone: 306-5967

caryn.wesner@uspto.gov

Search Notes

I found some similar things, but not this exactly. I mentioned the search in passing to another examiner, and he said you might be able to reject it on the basis of "nonfunctional descriptive material." If a modification or re-focus of this search is needed, please let me know.

O Ven Larly

Caryn S. Wesner-Early, MSLS Technical Information Specialist

EIC 3600, US Patent & Trademark Office

Phone: (703) 306-5967 Fax: (703) 306-5758

caryn.wesner@uspto.gov





STIC EIC 3600 Search Request Form

Today's Date 8/5/04

Priorty Date: 12/2/02 For 705 Searches list subclass:

Your Name Andrea Valenti___.

AU 3643 Examiner # 75.503

Room # 301 Phone 305 - 3010

Serial # 10/726,057

YES(NO) Is this a Rush? SPE's Signature

Is this a first action amendment? YES(NO)

Is this a refocus? YES(NO)

Access # 129145

What is the is the focus of this search? Please include concepts, synonyms etc.

Attach a copy of the abstract, pertinent claims and your East search strategy. Thanks.

Pet brush packaging containing on the package:

- instructions for using the brush
- identifying a particular pet coat type
- photograph of pet coat type
- name of a breed
- assigning an identifier to the pet coat

A chart to identify which brushes to use with different coat types

Please see attached claims

119/601 206/209 206/361 aUlk-013? b65d-081? b65d-083?

Darrier cod u

EIC 3600

Questions about the scope or the results of the search? Contact the EIC searcher or contact:

Karen Lehman, EIC 3600 Team Leader 306-5783, PK5- Suite 804

Voluntary Results Feedback Form	
>	I am an examiner in Workgroup: Example: 3620 (optional)
>	Relevant prior art found, search results used as follows:
	☐ 102 rejection
	☐ 103 rejection
	☐ Cited as being of interest.
	Helped examiner better understand the invention.
	Helped examiner better understand the state of the art in their technology.
	Types of relevant prior art found:
	☐ Foreign Patent(s)
	Non-Patent Literature (journal articles, conference proceedings, new product announcements etc.)
>	Relevant prior art not found:
	Results verified the lack of relevant prior art (helped determine patentability).
	Results were not useful in determining patentability or understanding the invention.
Co	omments:



POS ether confidence of como betelence bres to the qord